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4 Steps towards the Celtic Label

1. Proposal submission
2. Evaluation
3. Label decision
4. Evaluation Results to the Submitters

In Parallel: Contact your national authorities:
https://www.celticplus.eu/public-authorities/
Step 1: Submission of your Proposal

Welcome to Celtic-Plus Project Tool

This tool offers the following functions:
- Proposal Submission
- Proposal Review
- Project change Requests

For using the tool please login.
First time users have to register.

Submit Proposal

Project identification
Please enter a short acronym and the full project title

- Open Call *
- Acronym *
- Project Title *

Uploads
Please upload your CPP file (PDF or DOC) and any a

CPP (Celtic Call Proposal) template available on Celtic-Plus Web
Step 2: Evaluation of your Proposal

1. Evaluation by the Group of Experts (GoE)

List of Evaluation Criteria:

1. Excellence and technological innovation
2. Strategic relevance and impact
3. Potentials for exploitation of the results and for future business
4. Quality and efficiency of the implementation of the project proposal
5. Quality of the proposed consortium

Example of a typical outcome of GoE Evaluations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposal 1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>N M N N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>M M M M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal 3</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>S M S S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal 4</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>S S S S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S = Suitable for Labelling
M = Modifications required
N = Not suitable for Labelling
Step 2 Evaluation

2. Evaluation by Public Authorities

Possible statements for PA evaluations

Example of a typical outcome of PA Evaluations:

| Acronym | Country   | Budget share in % | Comment                                                        | Status for funding | Funding Application |
|---------|-----------|-------------------|                                                               |--------------------|---------------------|
| Project 1 | Finland  | 15.57          | Good project funding likely                                   | ok                | Yes                |
| Project 1 | France   | 42.00          | High FR budget share                                          | no decision        | Provisional         |
| Project 1 | Turkey   | 26.18          | Project targets too many things without any special focus.    | low               | No                 |
| Project 2 | Belgium  | 4.00           | Partner will be self funded                                   | ok                | Yes                |
| Project 2 | Germany  | 8.57           | Project is innovative but too ambitious.                      | average            | No                 |
| Project 2 | Netherland | 23.00     | Innovation with respect to the SoA not visible               | low               | Provisional         |
| Project 2 | Spain    | 7.48           | Possibilities of funding by Ministry of Industry              | average            | Provisional         |
| Project 2 | Sweden   | 23.23          | Good project funding likely                                   | ok                | Yes                |
| Project 2 | Denmark  | 11.61          | No funding for Cluster project                                | no funding         | No                 |
Step 3: Label Decision

- The decision on the label of proposals is taken in a common meeting of the Public Authorities and the Celtic Core Group.
- In addition to technical quality and business relevance, national priorities can also be a criterion.
Step 4: Evaluation Result

- Label Decision is communicated to proposers within 2 month after submission.

- Labelled Projects are informed by the Celtic Office about the Set up Process.

- Rejected Proposals receive feedback how they could be improved.
Alternative: Join a Labelled Project

- Projects Overviews at Celtic-Plus website, with status classification of “set-up” or “running”:
  https://www.celticplus.eu/running-projects/

- In case of interest directly contact the Coordinator
- For information on funding contact the Public Authorities
- For information on the Programme contact the Celtic-Plus Office
Project Live Cycle

The Celtic-Office supports with tools, templates and advice

Project Preparation
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Project Proposal
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Project Kick-Off

Project Active

Mid-Term Review

Final Review

Exploitation of Results
The Set Up process starts with labelling of the Celtic Project

- In EUREKA projects the funding is granted by ministries of the participating countries.

- Projects usually start when mandatory partners have green light for funding.

- The Celtic Office organises monthly phone contacts to help projects to conclude this critical phase.

- In the last years a success rate for running projects between 60 and 70 % has been achieved.
Country Participation

Number of projects (per country)

- Call 2016
- Call 2015
- Call 2014
- Call 2013
- Call 2012
- Call 2011
Country Participation

Budget in M€
(per country)
Join our next proposers Day, 20th June 2017, in Helsinki

And participate in one of our next calls

Deadlines:
- 24 May 2017
- 16 October 2017

More info: www.celticplus.eu
Contact:

Maria Barros Weiss
Celtic Programme Coordinator
barros@celticplus.eu